



**Selected Papers of #AoIR2025:  
The 26th Annual Conference of the  
Association of Internet Researchers**  
Niterói, Brazil / 15 – 18 Oct 2025

## **VISUAL MEDIA IN MOTION: CONCEPTUALIZING THE SHORT VIDEO FORMAT WITHIN VISUAL PLATFORMS**

Giulia Isabella Guerra  
Università della Svizzera italiana

### **Abstract**

Social media have become increasingly visually focused with the rise of visual-centric platforms like Instagram and TikTok, reshaping aesthetics and user experience. In these mobile-first spaces, vertical short videos redefined digital storytelling, content creation, and engagement. Although their relevance has fostered a rich and expanding body of research, a conceptualization of “short videos” remains elusive, with varying terminology across studies. Moreover, research on visual communication has extensively focused on static images, while missing conceptual work with respect to moving images designed for mobile consumption. Developing a conceptual framework is therefore essential, providing the foundation for systematically analyzing these audiovisual artifacts.

Drawing from format theory, social media studies, multimodality and visual communication, this work introduces a conceptualization of short videos as a distinctive media format, defined by structural characteristics that allow to distinguish and analyze them across platforms. This work outlines the technical infrastructure and audiovisual features of the format, discussing how the interplay of diverse modes of communication fosters both collective and individual experiences, thereby shaping meaning making. This conceptual framework expands research on moving images in mobile environments, offering a comprehensive understanding of the role of short videos within visual and social media culture and supporting methodologies to examine their multimodal components.

### **Conceptualizing short videos as a distinctive media format**

Vertical short videos have become a ubiquitous format of social media platforms. These audiovisual artifacts typically last from a few seconds to a few minutes (Anderson, 2020) and align seamlessly with smartphone interfaces and usage patterns, maximizing the potential of fast mobile consumption (Mulier et al., 2021; Ryan, 2018). Given the increasing content consumption via smartphones (Newman et al., 2024) and content

Suggested Citation (APA): Guerra, G. I. (2025, October). *Visual media in motion: Conceptualizing the short video format within visual platforms*. Paper presented at AoIR2025: The 26th Annual Conference of the Association of Internet Researchers. Niterói, Brazil: AoIR. Retrieved from <http://spir.aoir.org>.

abundance on platforms (Boczkowski, 2021), short videos are crucial as they capture and maintain users' attention through dynamic storytelling, serendipitous content discovery (H. Yang et al., 2023; Q. Yang & Lee, 2022) and playful affordances (Cervi & Divon, 2023). Previous research has crucially contributed to advancing our understanding of short videos; however, a shared conceptualization is lacking, and terminology varies across studies. For example, some studies use the term “video” regardless of platform, whether referring to Vine (L. Zhang et al., 2014), Snapchat (Schreiber, 2017), Instagram (Muñoz & Towner, 2017), TikTok (Cervi & Divon, 2023), or in cross-platforms studies (Bossetta, 2018). Others adopt “short video” for Snapchat (Bayer et al., 2016) and TikTok (Abidin, 2020; Ge et al., 2021; Yaqi et al., 2021; Zeng & Abidin, 2021; Zeng & Kaye, 2022). Varied terminology also occurs within the same study (Anderson, 2020; Lee, 2023). Research on TikTok show the most diverse terminology, e.g. “video content” (Klug & Autenrieth, 2022), “short-video content” (Schellewald, 2021; Shutsko, 2020), and “user-generated short-form videos (UGSVs)” (Wang, 2021). Some studies explore TikTok videos as a format, considering platform-specific affordances and features (Cervi et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2021; Kaye et al., 2022). While these definitions agree on brevity, they interchangeably use the terms “content,” “form,” and “format.” A clear conceptual framework identifies the distinctive characteristics of short videos, distinguishes them from other audiovisuals, enables tracking their evolution cross-platforms and thus allows for systematic comparison across diverse settings, supporting cumulative research (McLeod & Pan, 2005). The term “content” refers to the information and meanings conveyed by media (McQuail & Deuze, 2020), and to the diverse materials produced and shared across platforms, including posts, images, videos, and music (Bucher & Helmond, 2018; Gerlitz & Helmond, 2013; Papacharissi, 2009; van Dijck, 2013). In contrast, “form” denotes the visible configuration and structures of media artifacts, distinct from their “content” (Aiello & Parry, 2020). “Format” indicates both technical configuration and socio-cultural meaning, comprehensively encompassing media creation, distribution, and consumption (Sterne, 2012). A format, indeed, specifies formal and aesthetic configurations of media, ensures interoperability across infrastructures, and guides user agency (Jancovic et al., 2019). The “short video format” emerges as the most suitable conceptualization, as it is grounded on the relation among operational standards, affordances, intended uses and situational context, components that are in dialogue with one another, as proposed by Sterne (2012).

The short video format then is an audiovisual artifact that shapes meaning through diverse modes of communication (Van Leeuwen, 2005). Despite evolving platform infrastructures and affordances (Couldry & Hepp, 2018; Papacharissi, 2009; van Dijck, 2013), this format maintains core structural characteristics over time, which are outlined in the following:

- *infrastructure designed for visual spaces*: short videos originated on visual, mobile-first platforms like Vine (Vandersmissen et al., 2014; L. Zhang et al., 2014) and Snapchat (Bayer et al., 2016). They spread to other platforms under the action of users and competitors (Bucher & Helmond, 2018; van Dijck, 2013), carrying with them aesthetics and associated practices;

- *short length*: “short” refers both to the typical duration of these videos, and the experience of consumption or “short-lived consumption” (Schellewald, 2021, p. 1437), encouraging continuous scrolling (Lupinacci, 2021) and content flow that foster engagement through serendipitous discovery (Q. Yang & Lee, 2022; S. Yang et al., 2019), keeping user attention over time (Zhang et al., 2014).
- *vertical display*: the short video format typically adopts a 9:16 aspect ratio for optimal viewing on smartphone. This challenged traditional compositional norms for horizontal moving images, while creating an aesthetic suited to the intimate, personal experience of mobile use (Napoli, 2016; Ryan, 2018);
- *creative customization functions*: built-in editing tools enable accessible customization (Gerlitz et al., 2019), enhance creativity (Shutsko, 2020), boost engagement by making content creation playful (Cervi & Divon, 2023; Schellewald, 2021;) and foster socio-cultural practices such as imitation and re-interpretation of content (Zeng & Abidin, 2021);
- *auditory affordances*: sound plays a key role in creative production and social engagement, with audio features that drive trends, storytelling, and interactivity (Abidin, 2020, p. 2; Zeng & Abidin, 2021). The possibility to include subtitles improve accessibility, benefiting diverse audiences (Agulló et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2021);
- *interactive features and cross-platform transitions*: affordances such as sharing, commenting, and liking, drives engagement, social interaction, and identity expression, fostering individual and collective socio-cultural practices (Schellewald, 2021; Zeng & Abidin, 2021) within and beyond their native platforms (Ge et al., 2021; Schellewald, 2021; Yaqi et al., 2021);
- *versatility of communicative genres*: the short video format offers high personalization and combines different modes of communication, conveying diverse communicative genres and fulfilling varying social function (Van Leeuwen, 2005). This lead to widespread use by stakeholders like companies (Ge et al., 2021), news providers (Klug & Autenrieth, 2022), educators (Carpenter et al., 2024; Zeng et al., 2021), and politicians (Cervi et al., 2021; Medina Serrano et al., 2020).

These characteristics form the foundation of a conceptual framework that identifies short videos as a cross-platform format, enables tracking changes, connects studies grounded in diverse settings, and supports cumulative research (McLeod & Pan, 2005).

## **Conclusions and future directions**

Conceptualizing short videos as a format offers a comprehensive understanding of their role within visual and social media culture, exploring how the interplay of diverse mode of communication (Van Leeuwen, 2005), operational standards, affordances, intended uses and situational context (Sterne, 2012) shape meanings. In doing so, this conceptual framework contributes to existing research by providing a theoretical foundation that enhances and encourages dialogue across studies (McLeod & Pan, 2005) and supports tailored methodologies for examining in-depth the multiple components of short videos.

## References

Abidin, C. (2020). Mapping Internet celebrity on TikTok: Exploring attention economies and visibility labours. *Cultural Science Journal*, 12(1), 77–103. <https://doi.org/10.5334/csci.140>

Agulló, B., Montagud, M., & Fraile, I. (2019). Making interaction with virtual reality accessible: Rendering and guiding methods for subtitles. *Artificial Intelligence for Engineering Design, Analysis and Manufacturing*, 33(4), 416–428. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0890060419000362>

Aiello, G., & Parry, K. (2020). *Visual communication: Understanding images in media culture*. SAGE Publications.

Anderson, K. E. (2020). Getting acquainted with social networks and apps: It is time to talk about TikTok. *Library Hi Tech News*, 37(4), 7–12. <https://doi.org/10.1108/LHTN-01-2020-0001>

Bayer, J. B., Ellison, N. B., Schoenebeck, S. Y., & Falk, E. B. (2016). Sharing the small moments: Ephemeral social interaction on Snapchat. *Information, Communication & Society*, 19(7), 956–977. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2015.1084349>

Boczkowski, P. J. (2021). *Abundance: On the experience of living in a world of information plenty*. Oxford University Press.

Bossetta, M. (2018). The digital architectures of social media: Comparing political campaigning on Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and Snapchat in the 2016 U.S. election. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, 95(2), 471–496. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699018763307>

Bucher, T., & Helmond, A. (2018). The affordances of social media platforms. In J. Burgess, A. Marwick, & T. Poell (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of social media* (pp. 233–253). Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781473984066.n14>

Carpenter, J. P., Morrison, S. A., Shelton, C. C., Clark, N., Patel, S., & Toma-Harrold, D. (2024). How and why educators use TikTok: Come for the fun, stay for the learning? *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 142, 104530. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2024.104530>

Cervi, L., & Divon, T. (2023). Playful activism: Memetic performances of Palestinian resistance in TikTok #challenges. *Social Media + Society*, 9(1), 20563051231157607. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20563051231157607>

Cervi, L., Tejedor, S., & Marín Lladó, C. (2021). TikTok and the new language of political communication. *Cultura, Lenguaje y Representación*, 26, 267–287. <https://doi.org/10.6035/clr.5817>

- Chen, X., Kaye, D. B. V., & Zeng, J. (2021). #PositiveEnergyDouyin: Constructing “playful patriotism” in a Chinese short-video application. *Chinese Journal of Communication*, 14(1), 97–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17544750.2020.1761848>
- Ge, J., Sui, Y., Zhou, X., & Li, G. (2021). Effect of short video ads on sales through social media: The role of advertisement content generators. *International Journal of Advertising*, 40(6), 870–896. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02650487.2020.1848986>
- Gerlitz, C., & Helmond, A. (2013). The like economy: Social buttons and the data-intensive web. *New Media & Society*, 15(8), 1348–1365. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444812472322>
- Gerlitz, C., Helmond, A., Van Der Vlist, F., & Weltevrede, E. (2019). Regramming the platform: Infrastructural relations between apps and social media. *Computational Culture*, 7.
- Jancovic, M., Volmar, A., & Schneider, A. (Eds.). (2019). *Format matters: An introduction to format studies*. Meson press.
- Kaye, D. B. V., Zeng, J., & Wikstrom, P. (2022). *TikTok: Creativity and culture in short video*. Polity Press.
- Klug, D., & Autenrieth, U. (2022). Struggle for strategy. Presence, practices, and communicative strategies of legacy news providers on TikTok. OSF. <https://doi.org/10.33767/osf.io/m3ztq>
- Lee, Y. J. (2023). Language learning affordances of Instagram and TikTok. *Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching*, 17(2), 408–423. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17501229.2022.2051517>
- Lupinacci, L. (2021). ‘Absentmindedly scrolling through nothing’: Liveness and compulsory continuous connectedness in social media. *Media, Culture & Society*, 43(2), 273–290. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0163443720939454>
- McLeod, J. M., & Pan, Z. (2005). Concept explication and theory construction. In S. Dunwoody, L. B. Becker, D. M. McLeod, & G. M. Kosicki (Eds.), *The evolution of key mass communication concepts* (pp. 14–76). Hampton Press.
- McQuail, D., & Deuze, M. (2020). *McQuail’s media & mass communication theory* (Seventh Edition). SAGE.
- Medina Serrano, J. C., Papakyriakopoulos, O., & Hegelich, S. (2020). Dancing to the partisan beat: A first analysis of political communication on TikTok. *12th ACM Conference on Web Science*, 257–266. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3394231.3397916>

Mulier, L., Slabbinck, H., & Vermeir, I. (2021). This way up: The effectiveness of mobile vertical video marketing. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 55(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2020.12.002>

Muñoz, C. L., & Towner, T. L. (2017). The image is the message: Instagram marketing and the 2016 presidential primary season. *Journal of Political Marketing*, 16(3–4), 290–318. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15377857.2017.1334254>

Napoli, M. D. (2016). The “mobile effect” on screen format: The case of vertical videos. *Journal of Science and Technology of the Arts*, 45–49. <https://doi.org/10.7559/CITARJ.V8I2.169>

Newman, N., Fletcher, R., Robertson, C. T., Arguedas, A. R., & Nielsen, R. K. (2024). Reuters Institute digital news report 2024. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/digital-news-report/2024>

Papacharissi, Z. (2009). The virtual geographies of social networks: A comparative analysis of Facebook, LinkedIn and ASmallWorld. *New Media & Society*, 11(1–2), 199–220. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444808099577>

Ryan, K. M. (2018). Vertical video: Rupturing the aesthetic paradigm. *Visual Communication*, 17(2), 245–261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470357217736660>

Schellewald, A. (2021). Communicative forms on TikTok: Perspectives from digital ethnography. *International Journal of Communication*, 15, 1437–1457. <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/16414>

Schreiber, M. (2017). Audiences, aesthetics and affordances. Analysing practices of visual communication on social media. *Digital Culture and Society*, 3(2), 143–163.

Shutsko, A. (2020). User-generated short video content in social media. A case study of TikTok. In G. Meiselwitz (Ed.), *Social computing and social media. Participation, user experience, consumer experience, and applications of social computing* (Vol. 12195, pp. 108–125). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49576-3\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49576-3_8)

Sterne, J. (2012). *MP3: The meaning of a format*. Duke University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822395522>

van Dijck, J. (2013). *The culture of connectivity: A critical history of social media*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199970773.001.0001>

Van Leeuwen, T. (2005). *Introducing social semiotics*. Routledge.

Vandersmissen, B., Godin, F., Tomar, A., De Neve, W., & Van de Walle, R. (2014). The rise of mobile and social short-form video: An in-depth measurement study of Vine. *Proceedings of the SoMuS ICMR 2014 Workshop*, 1198, 1–10.

Wang, Y. T. (2021). Multimodal analysis: Researching short-form videos and the theatrical practices. *Proceedings of the 104th Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication*, 1, 1–19.

Yang, H., Zhang, S., Diao, Z., & Sun, D. (2023). What motivates users to continue using current short video applications? A dual-path examination of flow experience and cognitive lock-in. *Telematics and Informatics*, 85, 102050. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2023.102050>

Yang, Q., & Lee, Y.-C. (2022). What drives the digital customer experience and customer loyalty in mobile short-form video shopping? Evidence from douyin (TikTok). *Sustainability*, 14(17), 10890. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141710890>

Yaqi, Z., Lee, J.-Y., & Liu, S. (2021). Research on the uses and gratifications of TikTok (Douyin short video). *International Journal of Contents*, 17(1), 37–53. <https://doi.org/10.5392/IJoC.2021.17.1.037>

Zeng, J., & Abidin, C. (2021). '#OkBoomer, time to meet the Zoomers': Studying the memefication of intergenerational politics on TikTok. *Information, Communication & Society*, 24(16), 2459–2481. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2021.1961007>

Zeng, J., & Kaye, D. B. V. (2022). From content moderation to visibility moderation: A case study of platform governance on TikTok. *Policy & Internet*, 14(1), 79–95. <https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.287>

Zeng, J., Schäfer, M. S., & Allgaier, J. (2021). Reposting “till Albert Einstein is TikTok famous”: The memetic construction of science on TikTok. *International Journal of Communication*, 15, 3216–3247. <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/8tdvm>

Zhang, L., Wang, F., & Liu, J. (2014). Understand instant video clip sharing on mobile platforms: Twitter's Vine as a case study. *Proceedings of Network and Operating System Support on Digital Audio and Video Workshop*, 85–90. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2578260.2578278>

Zhang, W., Mei, J., Song, W., Evans, R., & Xiang, Y. (2021). Why do citizens engage with the TikTok accounts of public hospitals in China? *Sage Open*, 11(4), 21582440211061568. <https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211061568>